

**3rd Workshop of Spanish Users on Nuclear Data on  
“Machine Learning in Nuclear Science and Technology Applications”  
(video-conference)**

May 27, 2021  
Universidad Politécnica de Madrid  
Instituto de Fusión Nuclear “Guillermo Velarde”  
ETS de Ingenieros Industriales de Madrid, Madrid, Spain

**SUMMARY RECORD**

**O. Cabellos** (UPM/Spain) opened the meeting and welcomed all participants (The Agenda of the Workshop is in Annex 1, and a List of Participants is in Annex 2).

**1. Welcome and introduction to the meeting**

The chair, **J. Dies** (CSN/Spain) opened the meeting and introduced participants in artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML) predicting capabilities. These predictive analytical tools may improve reactor safety while offer economic savings. **J. Dies** highlighted the importance of this Workshop to identify and get better understanding of current applications of ML techniques in nuclear science and technology applications.

**2. Technical Presentations**

- *“ML in radiation metrology: Application of Gamma-ray spectrometry”*, T. Kin (Kyushu University, Japan).

**T. Kin** presented the current activities on radiation metrology at the Kyushu University. **T. Kin** introduced an example of application for fast gamma-ray spectrometry. This fast technique is useful due to the huge number of samples that must be measured with long measured time required. Examples of activity prediction of  $^{137}\text{Cs}$  and  $^{40}\text{K}$  were shown using and convolutional neural network to reduce measurement time.

- *“Practical cases using Machine Learning applied to education&training, operation and inspection of nuclear power plants”*, B. Briquez (TECNATOM, Spain)

**B. Briquez** gave an overview of the activities in the TECNATOM/DataLab. B. Briquez presented the projects “Baffle Bolts” for nuclear power plan inspections, “Intelligent Optics” for recognition and analysis of colours, shapes and characters to be used in panel identification alarm status and reading indicators. And finally, the “Learning Analytics” with the development of SOUL Analytics used for education and training, and “Virtual Assistants” to provide capabilities of real-time and hand-free analytics using voice recognition.

- “Deep Learning applied to Capture Cross Section Data Analysis”, A. Sánchez (CIEMAT, Spain)

**A. Sanchez** presented Deep Learning techniques (Neural Network classifier) in the process of capture event discrimination of simulated data for a  $^{197}\text{Au}$  sample with Aluminium canning, and a  $^{239}\text{Pu}$  sample. This technique gives better results than the traditional method: signal-to-background ratios  $\sim 3/5$  times larger than traditional cuts, and larger capture efficiencies of  $\sim 13/16\%$ , respectively for  $^{197}\text{Au}$  and  $^{239}\text{Pu}$  samples.
- “Unsupervised learning for nuclear fuel cycle applications”, A. Villacorta (CIEMAT, Spain)

**A. Villacorta** presented a hierarchical clustering technique to find which selection of technologies provide similar results in nuclear fuel cycle studies, grouping scenarios based on their similarity. **A. Villacorta** used an exercise based on scenario objectives for a nuclear fuel cycle starting with a PWR(UOX) fleet, minimizing and stabilizing the TRU (Pu + MA) inventories. Different configuration of technologies were used (ADS, GFR, SFR, etc...). **A. Villacorta** concluded that hierarchical clustering technique can be used for selecting technologies that are more suitable for given objectives.
- “Machine learning applied to the modelling of nuclear de-excitation cascades”, E. Mendoza (CIEMAT, Spain)

**E. Mendoza** presented a genetic algorithm to fit the parameters of a model capable of generating neutron capture cascades. The purpose of this work is to calculate the detection efficiency of  $\gamma$ -ray detectors. **E. Mendoza** recognized that there is not straightforward way to generate reliable  $\gamma$ -ray cascades emitted after neutron capture. Then, he proposed to minimize the FoM of N-parameters used in a  $\gamma$ -ray cascade model. He concluded that the genetic algorithm was successful to predict those N-parameters.
- “Surrogate Models for Nuclear Burn-up Calculations and Uncertainty Propagation”, Arnau Albà (PSI, Switzerland)

**A. Albà** presented a surrogate model which is able to replace depletion calculations of CASMO5 code for fuel assemblies with a specific irradiation history with different nuclear data. This technique is applied for the uncertainty quantification (using stochastic sampling) of burnup calculations which require highly demanding of CPU time. The large number of input variables (nuclear data energy dependent and for different materials/isotopes) might require dimensional reduction techniques, such as PCA or encoder-decoder. Output variables are criticality and isotopic inventory (and related response functions such as decay heat).
- “Predicting the Bias in Calculations of Spent Nuclear Fuel Characteristics”, Shama Ahmed (PSI, Switzerland)

**S. Ahmed** presented Machine Learning models (neural network, random forest) which are analysed for their predictive performance in spent nuclear fuel calculations, comparing bias model predictions to observed ones. **S. Ahmed** demonstrated that the bias could be predicted from validation data (benchmarks), so this work may support activities such as license applications, and decisions on safety margins.
- “Combining Levenberg–Marquardt and Gaussian Processes for treatment of model defects in nuclear data evaluation”, J. Hansson (Uppsala University, Sweden)

**J. Hansson** introduced the concept of model defects which adversely may affects nuclear data evaluations. The Gaussian Process (GP) for model defects is presented to model discrepancy functions and to increase model flexibility. **J. Hansson** presented the Maximum a Posteriori Probability (MAP) estimate of linear model with GP discrepancy. He also presented the

Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm (LM) used to improve convergence of this technique. Examples of nuclear data evaluations were shown.

- “Optimisation of used nuclear fuel canister loading using a neural network and genetic algorithm”, V. Solans (Uppsala University, Sweden)

**V. Solans** presented a study which aims at providing results on the optimization of canister loading using different ML techniques. Firstly, **V. Solans** presented an artificial neural network technique for the prediction of keff values based on SERPENT calculations. Secondly, **V. Solans** presented the optimization algorithm based on genetic algorithm to optimize loading for 212 assemblies into 53 canisters where keff computed values are calculated with the neural network. The goals for this optimization algorithm are the minimizing of keff and decay heat, and with homogeneous distributions both for keff and decay heat.

- “Machine Learning techniques in V&V of Nuclear Data Bases. Examples: EXFOR, JANIS/NEA evaluated data and ICSBEP”, G. Clavero, S. Moreno and J. Moreno, INGENIA (UPM, Spain)

Three different works were presented in this talk. **S. Moreno** presented outlier detection in EXFOR nuclear reactions library using DBSCAN algorithm. The work on nuclear data validation using ML techniques is presented by **J. Moreno** and **G. Clavero**. The NEA databases of JANIS (nuclear data) and ICSBEP (experimental and calculated critical experiments) are used in this exercise. ML techniques such as clustering, modelization (random forest) and selection features are presented. NDaST tool capabilities are also introduced in this work to provide an additional analysis with nuclear data uncertainties. KNIME software is used in this work

- “Machine Learning in Reactor-oriented applications. Examples: optimal design of PWR fuel loading pattern, manoeuvres optimization and modelling and control of xenon oscillations”, G. Gabarain, J. A. Monleón and M. Ruiz, INGENIA (UPM, Spain)

Three different works were presented in this talk. **M. Ruiz** presented an example of fresh fuel reloading optimization for a PWR Westinghouse nuclear plant of 1000 MWe. SEANAP system used for 2D core calculations. Different ML techniques are compared with a brute force calculation: hill-climbing and genetic algorithms showed better results. **J.A. Monleón** presented the work on “optimization of operational manoeuvres in PWRs”, the optimization is based of a minimization of levels of boron concentration/dilution in return to power manoeuvres after a few hours of shutdown. Linear regression and random forest techniques are used in this work. **G. Gabarain** presented the work on “Xenon-135 Oscillations’ Forecasting in PWR’s Operation Manoeuvres” using a genetic algorithm to optimize a sequential neural network that predicts Axial Offsets and cumulative xenon oscillations. The Shimazu's Control Method and Westinghouse Niquist Diagram are tested.

- “Neutron and gamma beam simulation using OpenMC and Python's libraries for Machine Learning”, N. Schmidt (CNEA, Argentina)

**N. Schmidt** presented a technique to generate more particles in OpenMC code based on multivariate kernel density estimator (KDE) method. A comparison between KDE samples and original tracks shows a good performance of this technique. This work is used for the design of a time-of-flight spectrometry facility in the RA-6 Research Reactor, and the necessity to estimate the neutron current at the exit of the duct.

- “Using Machine Learning Algorithms for Large-scale Nuclear-data Validation”, D. Neudecker (LANL, USA)

**D. Neudecker** introduced how ML techniques may help to find trends between nuclear-data sensitivities and bias in simulating validation experiments that point towards potential

shortcomings in nuclear data. This can help scientists to resolve issues in nuclear data, or at least suggest future experiments and theory developments to resolve these issues. In this work, random forest model is used to estimate the bias of “C-E” for a suite of 875 criticality experiments and 15 LLNL pulsed sphere neutron-leakage spectra. This bias is model as a function of a large number of features for each experiment: keff-sensitivity coefficients ( $\Delta k_{eff}/\Delta\sigma$ ) and physical data (geometry, composition, etc...). SHAP index is used for the identification of the most important reaction nuclear data.

- “Announcement: Technical Meeting on Artificial Intelligence for Nuclear Technology and Applications, IAEA virtual-meeting, 25 – 29 October, 2021”, G. Schnabel (IAEA/NDS)

**G. Schnabel** announced the upcoming meeting on AI/ML organized by IAEA. He invited participants to contribute/participate in the meeting.

**O. Cabellos** closed the meeting thanking all the speakers and participants, especially for those with different Central European time. He acknowledged the high level of technical presentations providing a good overview of examples of AI/ML techniques in nuclear science and technology applications. Finally, he thanked **INGENIA/UPM students** for the organization of the meeting and their contribution in the technical session.

**APPENDIX 1. Agenda**

<i>Start - End</i>	<i>Presenter (Institution)</i>	<i>Title</i>
9:00 – 9:15	<i>O. Cabellos (UPM) J. Dies (CSN)</i>	<i>Welcome and Introduction</i>
9:15 – 9:30	<i>T. Kin (Kyushu University)</i>	<i>ML in radiation metrology: Application of Gamma-ray spectrometry</i>
9:30 – 9:45	<i>B. Briquez (TECNATOM)</i>	<i>Practical cases using Machine Learning applied to education&amp;training, operation and inspection of nuclear power plants</i>
9:45 – 10:00	<i>A. Sánchez (CIEMAT)</i>	<i>Deep Learning applied to Capture Cross Section Data Analysis</i>
10:00 – 10:15	<i>A. Villacorta (CIEMAT)</i>	<i>Unsupervised learning for nuclear fuel cycle applications</i>
10:15 – 10:30	<i>E. Mendoza (CIEMAT)</i>	<i>Machine learning applied to the modelling of nuclear de-excitation cascades</i>
10:30 – 10:45	<i>Coffee break and photo of participants</i>	
10:45 – 11:00	<i>A. Jacas Arnau (PSI)</i>	<i>Surrogate Models for Nuclear Burn-up Calculations and Uncertainty Propagation</i>
11:00 – 11:15	<i>Shama Ahmed (PSI)</i>	<i>Predicting the Bias in Calculations of Spent Nuclear Fuel Characteristics</i>
11:15 – 11:30	<i>J. Hansson (Uppsala University)</i>	<i>Combining Levenberg–Marquardt and Gaussian Processes for treatment of model defects in nuclear data evaluation</i>
11:30 – 11:45	<i>V. Solans (Uppsala University)</i>	<i>Optimisation of used nuclear fuel canister loading using a neural network and genetic algorithm</i>
11:45 – 12:00	<i>G. Clavero, S. Moreno and J. Moreno INGENIA (UPM)</i>	<i>Machine Learning techniques in V&amp;V of Nuclear Data Bases. Examples: EXFOR, JANIS/NEA evaluated data and ICSBEP</i>
12:00 – 12:15	<i>G. Gabarain, J. A. Monleón and M. Ruiz INGENIA (UPM)</i>	<i>Machine Learning in Reactor-oriented applications. Examples: optimal design of PWR fuel loading pattern, manoeuvres optimization and modelling and control of xenon oscillations</i>
12:15 – 12:30	<i>N. Schmidt (CNEA)</i>	<i>Neutron and gamma beam simulation using OpenMC and Python's libraries for Machine Learning</i>
12:30 – 12:45	<i>D. Neudecker (LANL)</i>	<i>Using Machine Learning Algorithms for Large-scale Nuclear-data Validation</i>
12:45 – 12:50	<i>G. Schnabel (IAEA/NDS)</i>	<i>Announcement: “Technical Meeting on Artificial Intelligence for Nuclear Technology and Applications” IAEA virtual-meeting, 25 – 29 October, 2021</i>
12:50 – 13:00	<i>Final discussion and closing the meeting</i>	

## APPENDIX 2. Workshop Participants List

#	First Name and Last Name	e-mail	Institution	Country
1	Javier Dawidowski	javier@cab.cnea.gov.ar	CAB	Argentina
2	Camila Soria	camilasoriah@gmail.com	CNEA	Argentina
3	Giuliano Perotti Bernardini	giuliano.perotti.bernardini@gmail.com	CNEA	Argentina
4	Iván Gabriel Bravo	bravo.ivangabriel@gmail.com	CNEA	Argentina
5	Jose Ignacio Marquez Damian	marquezj@cab.cnea.gov.ar	CNEA	Argentina
6	Norberto Schmidt	norberto.schmidt@cab.cnea.gov.ar	CNEA	Argentina
7	Rose Aleli Gómez de la Peña	rosealeli89@gmail.com	CNEA	Argentina
8	Santiago Bazzana	santiagobazzana@gmail.com	CNEA	Argentina
9	Marianella Montano Rojas	marianella.montano@ib.edu.ar	Instituto Balseiro	Argentina
10	Mauricio Debarbora	medebarbora@gmail.com	Instituto Balseiro	Argentina
11	Zoe Prieto	zoe.prieto@ib.edu.ar	Instituto Balseiro	Argentina
12	Hector Gustavo Sarabia	HSarabia@invap.com.ar	INVAP	Argentina
13	Ignacio Ferrari	IFerrari@invap.com.ar	INVAP	Argentina
14	Hakiim Jamaluddin	ahmadhakiimjamaluddin@gmail.com	UNSW Sydney	Australia
15	Rollet Sofia	Sofia.Rollet@ait.ac.at	AIT Austrian Institute of Technology	Austria
16	BATRA, Chirayu	Chirayu.Batra@iaea.org	IAEA	Austria
17	SCHNABEL, Georg	G.Schnabel@iaea.org	IAEA	Austria
18	Çelik Yurdunaz	yurdunaz.celik@sckcen.be	SCK-CEN	Belgium
19	Romojaro Pablo	pablo.romojaro@sckcen.be	SCK-CEN	Belgium
20	Marco Herbas	mherbas@aben.gob.bo	Agencia Bolivia de Energía Nuclear	Bolivia
21	Tolosa Delgado, Alvaro	alvaro.a.tolosa-delgado@jyu.fi	Jyvaskyla University	Finland
22	Ana Jambrina	Ana.Jambrina@lut.fi	LUT University	Finland
23	DESTOUCHES Christophe	christophe.destouches@cea.fr	CEA	France
24	PENELIAU Yannick	yannick.penelieu@cea.fr	CEA	France
25	Stephane HILAIRE	Stephane.HILAIRE@CEA.FR	CEA	France
26	JAISWAL Vaibhav	vaibhav.jaiswal@irsn.fr	IRSN	France
27	Franco MICHEL-SENDIS	Franco.MICHEL-SENDIS@oecd-nea.org	Nuclear Energy Agency	France
28	Julie-Fiona MARTIN	Julie-Fiona.MARTIN@oecd-nea.org	Nuclear Energy Agency	France
29	Harb, Moataz Salah Mohammed Khedr	moataz.harb@kit.edu	KIT/INR	Germany
30	Leichtle, Dieter	dieter.leichtle@kit.edu	KIT/INR	Germany
31	Park, Jin Hun	jin.park@kit.edu	KIT/INR	Germany
32	Qiu, Yuefeng	yuefeng.qiu@kit.edu	KIT/INR	Germany
33	Serikov, Arkady	arkady.serikov@kit.edu	KIT/INR	Germany
34	Stakhanova, Anastasia	anastasia.stakhanova@kit.edu	KIT/INR	Germany
35	Victor Hugo Sanchez-Espinoza	victor.sanchez@kit.edu	KIT/INR	Germany
36	Hashemi, Mohammad	mohammad.hashemi@tum.de	Technical University of Munich	Germany
37	Behzad Khosrowpour	behzad.khosrowpour@gmail.com	Azad University	Iran
38	Michela Angelucci	michela.angelucci@phd.unipi.it	Universita di Pisa	Italy
39	Salvatore Angelo Cancemi	salvatore.cancemi@phd.unipi.it	Universita di Pisa	Italy
40	Erina HAMASE	hamase.erina@jaea.go.jp	JAEA	Japan
41	Hamid Basiri	basiri.hamid@gmail.com	Kyushu University (Japan)	Japan
42	Tadahiro Kin	kin@aees.kyushu-u.ac.jp	Kyushu University	Japan

			(Japan)	
43	César J. Guevara Pillaca	cesarj.guevara@pucp.edu.pe	Pontificia Universidad Católica del Perú	Perú
44	Hwang, Hae-Ryong	haeryong.hwang@gmail.com	SNU Nuclear Energy Policy Center	South Korea
45	Carlos Tudela Caballero	carlos.tudelacaballero@yahoo.es	-	Spain
46	Ana Belen Del Cerro Gordo	anabelen.delcerro@cdti.es	CDTI	Spain
47	Pablo T. León	pabloteofilo.leon@enel.com	CEIDEN	Spain
48	Adrián Sánchez	Adrian.Sanchez@ciemmat.es	CIEMAT	Spain
49	Aris Villacorta	aris.villacorta@ciemmat.es	CIEMAT	Spain
50	Daniel Cano Ott	daniel.cano@ciemmat.es	CIEMAT	Spain
51	Emilio Mendoza	emilio.mendoza@ciemmat.es	CIEMAT	Spain
52	David J. Vicente	djvicente@cimne.upc.edu	CIMNE	Spain
53	DIEZ DE LA OBRA CARLOS JAVIER	carlosjavier.diez@csn.es	CSN	Spain
54	Fernando Pelayo	fpl@csn.es	CSN	Spain
55	Javier Dies	Javier.Dies@csn.es	CSN	Spain
56	Eva Celma	eva.celma@gmail.com	ENDESA	Spain
57	BARBAS ESPA, Alfonso	BAE@enusa.es	ENUSA	Spain
58	CARRASCO SANCHEZ, Alejandro	ACAS@enusa.es	ENUSA	Spain
59	HERRERO CARRASCOSA, Jose Javier	JJH@enusa.es	ENUSA	Spain
60	LOZANO MONTERO, Juan Andres	JUL@enusa.es	ENUSA	Spain
61	SANTAMARTA MARTINEZ, Raquel	RSM@enusa.es	ENUSA	Spain
62	VAZQUEZ ANTOLIN, Miriam	VAM@enusa.es	ENUSA	Spain
63	Jakub Kokinda	jakub.kokinda@eurecat.org	EURECAT	Spain
64	José Tomás Ruiz Martínez <>	j.ruiz@gdes.com	GD Energy Services	Spain
65	Contreras Lora, Carlos	ccor@iberdrola.es	Iberdrola	Spain
66	Peinado Perez, Angel	appe@iberdrola.es	Iberdrola	Spain
67	David Catalán Conde	david.catalan@idom.com	IDOM	Spain
68	Gaurav Garg	gaurav.garg@idom.com	IDOM	Spain
69	Juan Diego Iberico Leonardo	juandiego.iberico@idom.com	IDOM	Spain
70	Núria Escudé Recasens	nuria.escude@co.idom.com	IDOM	Spain
71	Xavier Amorós Trias	xavier.amoros@idom.com	IDOM	Spain
72	Alberto Fernández Sanz	afernandezs@idom.com	IDOM	Spain
73	Cesar Domingo Pardo	Cesar.Domingo@ific.uv.es	IFIC/UV	Spain
74	Gustavo Alcalá	galcala@ific.uv.es	IFIC/UV	Spain
75	Jorge Lerendegui Marco	jorge.lerendegui@ific.uv.es	IFIC/UV	Spain
76	Jose Antonio Victoria	jose.victoria@ific.uv.es	IFIC/UV	Spain
77	Jose L. Tain	tain@ific.uv.es	IFIC/UV	Spain
78	ALBA GUIOMAR VERDEJO LAHOZ	albagvl@gmail.com	INGENIA/UPM	Spain
79	ANGEL IGLESIAS FONTAN	a.ifontan@alumnos.upm.es	INGENIA/UPM	Spain
80	ANGELA TORRELO NAVARRO	atorrelonavarro@gmail.com	INGENIA/UPM	Spain
81	BEATRIZ MORENO GARCIA	b.morenog@alumnos.upm.es	INGENIA/UPM	Spain
82	CARLOS ANTONIO ISASI CASTILLON	ca.isasi@alumnos.upm.es	INGENIA/UPM	Spain
83	CELIA VICENTE AREVALO	celia.vicarevalo@alumnos.upm.es	INGENIA/UPM	Spain
84	CLAUDIA CEA PALLOL	claudiaceapallol@gmail.com	INGENIA/UPM	Spain

85	CRISTINA RATERO TALAVERA	cristina.rtalavera@alumnos.upm.es	INGENIA/UPM	Spain
86	GUILLERMO CLAVERO	guilleclavero@gmail.com	INGENIA/UPM	Spain
87	GUILLERMO GABARAIN SOLANS	ggabarains@gmail.com	INGENIA/UPM	Spain
88	HECTOR GUTIERREZ LI	hector.gutierrez.li@alumnos.upm.es	INGENIA/UPM	Spain
89	JAVIER NIETO DIAZ	javiernd18@gmail.com	INGENIA/UPM	Spain
90	JORGE HERRANZ FEITO	jorge.herranz.feito@alumnos.upm.es	INGENIA/UPM	Spain
91	JORGE MORENO DIEZ DE ULZURRUN	jorge.morenod@alumnos.upm.es	INGENIA/UPM	Spain
92	JOSE MIGUEL ALBIAR COLLADO	josemisanse97@hotmail.com	INGENIA/UPM	Spain
93	JUAN ANTONIO MONLEON DE LA LLUVIA MAZAGATOS	juanantonio.monleondelluvia.mazagatos@alumnos.upm.es	INGENIA/UPM	Spain
94	JUAN CORONA VALLET	juancoronavallet@gmail.com	INGENIA/UPM	Spain
95	JUAN FERNANDEZ PRADILLO	97juan1997@gmail.com	INGENIA/UPM	Spain
96	MARCO MARTIN GOMEZ	marco.marting@alumnos.upm.es	INGENIA/UPM	Spain
97	MARIA GARCIA-MOYA PEREZ	m.gperez@alumnos.upm.es	INGENIA/UPM	Spain
98	MARINA RUIZ IZU	marinaruizizu@hotmail.com	INGENIA/UPM	Spain
99	MIGUEL DE LA MATA RUBIO	miguel.delamata.rubio@alumnos.upm.es	INGENIA/UPM	Spain
100	PABLO ALCAIDE FERNANDEZ	paddlowlow@hotmail.com	INGENIA/UPM	Spain
101	PABLO FERNANDEZ SAN ANDRES	pablo.fernandezsa@alumnos.upm.es	INGENIA/UPM	Spain
102	PABLO SERNA MOÑINO	pablo.serna.monino@alumnos.upm.es	INGENIA/UPM	Spain
103	RODRIGO HERRAIZ FARIÑAS	rodri2898@gmail.com	INGENIA/UPM	Spain
104	SERGIO MORENO LOPEZ	sergio.more nol@alumnos.upm.es	INGENIA/UPM	Spain
105	Amparo Soler Martínez	amparo.soler@nfq.es	NFQ	Spain
106	Emilio Castro González	emilio.castro@nfq.es	NFQ	Spain
107	Francisco Javier Navarro Rubio	javier.navarro@nfq.es	NFQ	Spain
108	Nicolás Olmo Juan	nicolas.olmo@nfq.es	NFQ	Spain
109	CRISTINA PÉREZ BURGOS	cristina47005@hotmail.com	on leave-ENUSA	Spain
110	Berenger BRIQUEZ	bbriquez@tecnatom.es	TECNATOM	Spain
111	Gabriel CAPPARELLI CUCARELLA	gcapparelli@tecnatom.es	TECNATOM	Spain
112	LAIN FERNANDEZ ALFONSO	alain@tecnatom.es	TECNATOM	Spain
113	PROY PEREZ MANUEL	mproy@tecnatom.es	TECNATOM	Spain
114	ZAZO MARTIN JOSE M.	jmzazo@tecnatom.es	TECNATOM	Spain
115	Carlos Ferrera	cfergon12@gmail.com	UAM	Spain
116	ELENA MARTINEZ FERNANDEZ	emarti11@ucm.es	UCM	Spain
117	Sara Abad	saraabadjimenez@gmail.com	UCM	Spain
118	ALJAZ KOLSEK	akolsek@ind.uned.es	UNED	Spain
119	GABRIEL PEDROCHE SANCHEZ	gpedroche@bec.uned.es	UNED	Spain
120	JAVIER ALGUACIL OREJUDO	jalguacil@bec.uned.es	UNED	Spain
121	javier oliher	javioliher@correo.ugr.es	Universidad de Sevilla	Spain
122	Eduardo Iraola De Acevedo	eduardo.iraola@upc.edu	UPC	Spain
123	Kevin Martin Gil	kevin.martin@upc.edu	UPC	Spain
124	ALVARO GONZALEZ ESCAPA	alvaro.gescapa@alumnos.upm.es	UPM	Spain
125	Antonio Jiménez-Carrascosa	antonio.jcarrascosa@upm.es	UPM	Spain
126	Carlos Vázquez-Rodríguez	c.vazquez@upm.es	UPM	Spain
127	CAROLINA AHNERT IGLESIAS	carolina.ahnert@upm.es	UPM	Spain
128	Gonzalo García	gf.garcia@upm.es	UPM	Spain

129	Gonzalo Jiménez	gonzalo.jimenez@upm.es	UPM	Spain
130	JORGE SANCHEZ TORRIJOS	jorge.sanchez.torrijos@alumnos.upm.es	UPM	Spain
131	Lucía Honrubia	honrubialucia@gmail.com	UPM	Spain
132	Luis Felipe Durán Vinuesa	luisfelipe.duran@upm.es	UPM	Spain
133	Manuel Cotelo	manuel.cotelo@upm.es	UPM	Spain
134	Oscar Cabellos	oscar.cabellos@upm.es	UPM	Spain
135	Pedro Velarde	pedro.velarde@upm.es	UPM	Spain
136	ROBERTO GARCIA BAONZA	roberto.gabaonza@alumnos.upm.es	UPM	Spain
137	Antonella Labarile	alabarile@upv.es	UPV	Spain
138	Gustavo Adolfo Alcalá Escalona	galcala@ific.uv.es	UV	Spain
139	Henrik Sjöstrand	henrik.sjostrand@physics.uu.se	Uppsala	Sweden
140	Gao Zhihao	zhihao.gao@physics.uu.se	Uppsala University	Sweden
141	Gustav Robertson	gustav.robertson@physics.uu.se	Uppsala University	Sweden
142	Joachim Hansson	joachim.hansson@physics.uu.se	Uppsala University	Sweden
143	Virginie Solans	virginie.solans@physics.uu.se	Uppsala University	Sweden
144	Adelmann Andreas	andreas.adelmann@psi.ch	PSI	Switzerland
145	Alba Jacas Arnau	arnau.albajacas@psi.ch	PSI	Switzerland
146	Dimitri Rochman	dimitri-alexandre.rochman@psi.ch	PSI	Switzerland
147	Frankl Matthias Immanuel	matthias.frankl@psi.ch	PSI	Switzerland
148	Lee Hyunsuk	hyunsuk.lee@psi.ch	PSI	Switzerland
149	Mala Petra	petra.mala@psi.ch	PSI	Switzerland
150	Shama Ahmed	ahmed.shama@nagra.ch	PSI	Switzerland
151	Khalfan Mohamed Khalfan Binsurour Alketbi	U17104726@sharjah.ac.ae	University of Sharjah	UAE
152	Reem Rashed Abdulrahman Alsharhan Alnuaimi	U17100889@sharjah.ac.ae	University of Sharjah	UAE
153	Victor Gillette	vgillette@sharjah.ac.ae	University of Sharjah	UAE
154	Zayed Ibrahim Saif Almaeeni Alyassi	U16106184@sharjah.ac.ae	University of Sharjah	UAE
155	Francisco Javier Gallego Sosa	fgallegososa@bylor.co.uk	BYLOR JV	UK
156	Dyrda, James	james.dyrda@edf-energy.com	EDF	UK
157	Murphy Thomas	thomas.murphy@edf-energy.com	EDF-ENERGY	UK
158	Gilbert, Mark R	Mark.Gilbert@ukaea.uk	UK Atomic Energy Authority	UK
159	Gopakumar, Vignesh	vignesh.gopakumar@ukaea.uk	UKAEA	UK
160	Kanth, Priti	priti.kanth@ukaea.uk	UKAEA	UK
161	Octavio Emmanuel Hernandez Alvarez	octavio.hernandezaz@udlap.mx	University of York	UK
162	Neudecker, Denise	dneudecker@lanl.gov	LANL	USA
163	Mahmoud Hawary	myhawary@ncsu.edu	NCSU	USA
164	Armstrong, Jordan	jarmst37@vols.utk.edu	University of Tennessee	USA
165	Sobes, Vladimir	sobesv@utk.edu	University of Tennessee	USA